

YAH-TAMAH

ψ m + - ψ

YAH-AMAZED

YAH-MAZED

**THE MAZE
THE ENEMY
MINDSET
PART 2**

FINDING THE ARK OF PROTECTION WHILE WALKING IN YAH'S MAZE

HOME PAGE


PRAISE MUSIC

APPOINTED TIMES (FEASTS)

THE COVENANT

GENESIS

MORE...



- MORE...
- MAJORING ON THE MAJORS
- THE TRIAL OF SAUL/PAUL
- NAME YHUH TO CLAIM YAHUAH
- Name YHUH -PDFS to videos
- AMPLIFIED PSALMS
- ANOTHER BRICK IN THE WALL
- ANCIENT HISTORY
- WORD STUDIES
- END TIMES
- CHANOK/ENOCH - THE PROPHET
- COMING OUT OF BABYLON
- POLITICAL PAGANISM



Contact us tab

YAHUAH'S OASIS

<https://www.yahuwahsoasis.com>

For those of you who go by the Enoch Calendar - please hit the more tab and go to the Enoch page and scroll to the bottom for the latest 2022-2023 calendar PDF you can download.

HOME PAGE

PRAISE MUSIC

APPOINTED TIMES (FEASTS)

THE COVENANT

GENESIS

MORE...

2022/2023 Calendar

קוה **KAW-VAW**

Bound Together by Expectations

EAGERLY WAITING ON YAHUAH WITH
STRONG EXPECTATIONS OF DELIVERED
PROMISES



3/12/2022

MORE...

MAJORING ON THE MAJORS

THE TRIAL OF SAUL/PAUL

NAME YHUH TO CLAIM YAHUAH

Name YHUH -PDFS to videos

AMPLIFIED PSALMS

ANOTHER BRICK IN THE WALL

ANCIENT HISTORY

WORD STUDIES

END TIMES

CHANOK/ENOCH - THE PROPHET

COMING OUT OF BABYLON

POLITICAL PAGANISM



Yahuah's Chokmah PRO

Joined 4 years ago | United States

Chokmah is Hebrew for wisdom and all praise to Yahuah for leading us in our quest for that.

 Yahuah's Oasis


vimeo

In order to get the full presentation with gifs and added videos and commentary please check out this study on our Vimeo channel and follow along with the PDF. You can download the videos and share also on social media as you wish. There is a video for every PDF found on our website, the latest will be on top.


vimeo

<https://vimeo.com/yahuahschokmah>

3/12/2022
2/08/2019



Studies with Scripture explaining the Covenant with Yahuah - what He expects as well as what He offers us as His children. There are chronological studies showing when they first showed up in Scripture and with amplification of Scripture one will find a whole new look for the Father of the Universe.



YAHUAH'S CHOKMAH

cedarnsage
2 subscribers

<https://www.bitchute.com/channel/ibOCMfujVpAf/>



cedarnsage

cedarnsage
6 subscribers

<https://www.bitchute.com/channel/9aWNnBWV7sRr/>



Tina Helmuth

since: February 20th 2021

Unsubscribe

Website

https://yahuwahsoasis.com

RSS

ATOM

JSON

About

Stats

Imagine if you will a "stupid tax" -- imagine also how that would pay off ALL debt, just collecting from the liberals and snowflakes. Time to get back to critical thinking!!!!

Recent uploads




VAXXED II
69 views • 13 days ago



Exodus 20:16 the 9th Commandment...
86 views • a month ago




Exodus 20:16 the 9th Commandment...
96 views • a month ago



Exodus 20:16 the 9th Commandment...
86 views • a month ago

Color of Yah - Amplified Version...
118 views • 5 months ago



How to find the Death Statistics ...
178 views • 5 months ago



WE WILL NOT BE SILENCED
121 views • 6 months ago



Yahuah's Book Club - Ep. 1 Tina ...
113 views • 6 months ago



Tina L. Helmuth
@cedarnsage Follows you

<https://gab.com/cedarnsage>

Timeline

Comments

Photos

Videos



Following

12.9k
Gabs

1.8k
Followers

2.2k
Following

Tina L. Helmuth reposted



YahuahsDaughter-Dee Genders
@yahuahsdaughter

<https://gab.com/yahuahsdaughter>

Timeline

Comments

Photos

Videos

Likes

Bookmarks

Edit profile

3/12/2022
8/14/2021

826
Gabs

33
Followers

76
Following



YahuahsDaughter-Dee Genders @yahuahsdaughter
17h · 🗨️

...





<https://yahstruck.com/>

We'd like to welcome you to our site YAH STRUCK, where we are introducing the YAH STRUCK series of books. Though they are fictional, they are based on Child Trafficking as well as real events that have happened, though they are disguised. The books have a supernatural aspect to them with the help and strong presence of our Heavenly Father and Creator YAH and a group of Covenant family members destined to take on the sheer evil itself - in all its forms. You will also find blogs and merchandise to help support us as we promote the Name and Authority of Yahuah in this world.

In the fashion of all Hebrew words YAH-STRUCK has two sides. Yahuah can strike us in Righteous Vengeance, or to wake us up and get us to turn back around to Him or the best, for us to be YAH-STRUCK in amazement of Loyal Love, kindness and sheer brilliance.






It just takes the flip of a coin to decide between good and evil!



<https://yahstruck.com/>

FREE DOWNLOAD OF THE FIRST BOOK IN THE YAH-STRUCK SERIES!

<https://www.yahuwahsoasis.com/end-times.html>



suffer_the_little_children_pdf_for_website.pdf
[Download File](#)

YAHUAH'S OASIS

We invite you to download the book *Suffer the Little Children* for free – just click on this picture and it will take you to Yahuah's Oasis – go to the **End Time** tab and scroll down – this is in PDF form, if you prefer an E-Book, you can find that below.

The YAH-STRUCK series where Yahuah is the Super Hero!

FBI



WARNING

FEDERAL LAW ALLOWS CITIZENS TO REPRODUCE, DISTRIBUTE, OR EXHIBIT PORTIONS OF COPYRIGHTED MOTION PICTURES, VIDEO TAPES, OR VIDEO DISCS UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION OF THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER.

THIS INFRINGEMENT OF COPYRIGHT IS CALLED "FAIR USE" AND IS ALLOWED FOR PURPOSES OF CRITICISM, NEWS REPORTING, TEACHING, AND PARODY.

Fair Use - Policy

Copyright Disclaimer Under Section 107 of the Copyright Act 1976, allowance is made for "fair use" for purposes such as criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, and research. Fair use is a use permitted by copyright statute that might otherwise be infringing. Non-profit, educational or personal use tips the balance in favour of fair use.

Fair Use

Excerpts of copyrighted material may, under certain circumstances, be quoted verbatim for purposes such as criticism, news reporting, teaching, and research, without the need for permission from or payment to the copyright holder.

In part 2 we are burning some corn by letting the evil ones in their own words tell you their mindset and show you some of the groups that are promoting the new "reset". They can be successful on some level because they rewrite and hide history so we keep falling for their agenda, always disguised as "for the good of all". They are trying to "thin the herd".



**Those Who Don't Know The True Value Of Loyalty
Can Never Appreciate The Cost Of **Betrayal.****

They do this because there are a few in the herd that are looking at what they are doing and calling attention to the death and destruction they have always caused but never seem to get punished for. The news cycle moves us on to something else to fixate on. As others keep their heads down grazing on free stuff or hope-ium to keep them docile, those in the back get a clear view. Start to speak out and move to the front. Yikes! Can't have them sharing damaging information! Ban them from social media, or the more extreme- keep them locked in their houses and 6 feet apart, not being able to get enough oxygen to breathe let alone have a lengthy conversation about what is really going on. Losing brain cells, lower IQs and attention spans ensures the next phase will be more successful. They NEED compliance as much as they need us to be fearful.



WARNING: FASCISM

3,306 Fetal Deaths in VAERS



FASCISM MAY CAUSE BLUNT TRAUMA AND/OR VIOLENT DEATH. SYMPTOMS INCLUDE LOSS OF FREEDOM, VILIFICATION OF MINORITIES AND INCREASED MILITARISM. IF FASCISM GETS INTO YOUR EYES, FLUSH THEM IMMEDIATELY. DO NOT INGEST. KEEP AWAY FROM CHILDREN AND PETS.



They have a "Set In Stone" category and a "Work In Progress Narrative" running side by side as well. The "Set In Stone" are core principles based on solid lies that are told to them by lo-shema! Remember, it poorly counterfeits everything Yahuah does. It has a "religion", a covenant, and gives an expectation to it's minions of eternal life.



Their "Work In Progress Narrative" is what is being sold at the moment. What is on the news 24/7. What is the latest fear mongering narrative? Who are the ones stirring the pot? What "experts" are trotted out? What speech or ideas are censored? Now you are seeing it in true color.



LETTER TO COL. WILLIAM ELKINS
NOV.21,1864

I SEE IN THE NEAR FUTURE
A CRISIS APPROACHING THAT UN NERVES ME. AND CAUSES ME TO TREMBLE, FOR THE
SAFETY OF MY COUNTRY.

CORPORATIONS HAVE BEEN ENTHRONED. AND AN ERA OF CORRUPTION,IN HIGH PLACES
WILL FOLLOW. AND THE MONEY POWER OF THE COUNTRY WILL ENDEAVOR.TO PROLONG
IT'S REIGN,BY SEEKING UPON THE PREJUDICES OF THE PEOPLE.

UNTIL ALL THE WEALTH IS AGGREGATED IN A FEW
HANDS AND THE REPUBLIC IS DESTROYED. I FEEL AT THIS MOMENT.MORE ANXIETY FOR
THE SAFETY OF MY COUNTRY THAN EVER BEFORE. EVEN IN THE MIDST OF WAR. GOD
GRANT THAT MY SUSPICIONS MAY PROVE GROUNDLESS.

US. PRESIDENT ABRAHAM LINCOLN

You think the censorship was bad before? Pull as much information as you can now. They are going full court press! In their own words!

TECHNOLOGY

The New York Times

3/3/22

Daily Business Briefing >

The surgeon general calls on Big Tech to turn over Covid-19 misinformation data.

Dr. Vivek Murthy also demanded information from the platforms about the major sources of Covid-19 misinformation. Companies have until May 2 to submit the data.

<https://archive.ph/2022.03.03-234755/https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/03/technology/surgeon-general-covid-misinformation.html>



"This is about protecting the nation's health," said the surgeon general, Dr. Vivek Murthy. Doug Mills/The New York Times



A request for information from the surgeon general's office **demanded** that tech platforms **send data and analysis on the prevalence of Covid-19 misinformation on their sites, starting with common examples of vaccine misinformation documented by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.**

The notice asks the companies to submit **"exactly how many users saw or may have been exposed to instances of Covid-19 misinformation,"** as well as aggregate data on demographics that may have been disproportionately exposed to or affected by the misinformation.

The surgeon general, Dr. Vivek Murthy, **also demanded** information from the platforms **about the major sources of Covid-19 misinformation, including those that engaged in the sale of unproven Covid-19 products, services and treatments.**

"Technology companies now have the opportunity to be open and transparent with the American people about the misinformation on their platforms," Dr. Murthy said in an emailed statement. He added: **"This is about protecting the nation's health."**

Companies have until May 2 to submit the data. Denying requests for information does not carry a penalty, but the notice is the first formal request from the Biden administration of the tech companies to submit Covid-19 misinformation data, according to the surgeon general's office.

The request for information is part of President Biden's **Covid National Preparedness Plan**, which the White House detailed on Wednesday and which is a road map for a new stage of the pandemic where Covid-19 causes "minimal disruption," according to the White House. Mr. Biden first revealed details of the plan during his State of the Union address Tuesday night.

In addition to **demanding** misinformation data from the tech platforms, the surgeon general **called on health care providers and the public to submit information about how Covid-19 misinformation has negatively influenced patients and communities.**

"We're asking anyone with relevant insights — **from original research and data sets to personal stories that speak to the role of misinformation in public health — to share them with us,**" Dr. Murthy said.

We thought they only would listen to "peer reviewed studies" and "antidotal information or personal information or "original research" was not considered when discussing the harms of the jabberjab?! Do you see how the wording is not only inconsistent flip flopping but like changing the definition of what a vax is, they are changing what they consider acceptable data points! The Karen army will be pounding their keyboards with horror stories of unjabbed deaths, meanwhile the dead and maimed from the jab are ignored by everyone. **GASLIGHTING!**



THEFREETHOUGHTPROJECT.COM

DUCKDUCKGO BOWS TO AUTHORITARIANS, BEGINS CENSORING SEARCH ENGINE RESULTS TO 'FIGHT DISINFORMATION'

👤 Matt Agorist 📅 March 12, 2022

Arguably the world's most popular private search engine, DuckDuckGo, has long been a haven for those who do not want to participate in Google's censorship, manipulation, and tracking. In 2008, Gabriel Weinberg stated this mission with an emphasis on protecting searchers' privacy and avoiding the filter bubble of personalized search results that comes with all things Google.

Since its inception, the pro-privacy and anti-tracking business model has propelled the company from just a couple hundred thousand searches a month to over 100,000,000 searches every day. Their growth has been nearly exponential. But that all may be changing now. **This week, Weinberg, took everything his organization had been working on for years, and flushed it down the toilet with a single tweet.**

Like so many others I am sickened by Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the gigantic humanitarian crisis it continues to create. #StandWithUkraine At DuckDuckGo, we've been rolling out search updates that down-rank sites associated with Russian disinformation.

<https://thefreethoughtproject.com/duckduckgo-bows-to-authoritarians-begins-censoring-search-engine-results-to-fight-disinformation/>

Moreover, **who gets to decide what exactly constitutes “disinformation”**? In his thread, in which he engaged with several users, Weinberg **said nothing of transparency. Nor did he elaborate on what is and what isn’t disinformation or who would be telling DuckDuckGo what to censor.**

If anything, the last two years, and especially the last three weeks, have shown us that **today’s disinformation is the next days reality and vice versa. So many stories have been called disinformation only to be proven true months later while at the same time, overt disinformation has been presented as fact only to be proven false down the road.**



DHS SUGGESTS THOSE WHO SPREAD ‘MISLEADING NARRATIVES’ THAT ‘UNDERMINE TRUST IN US GOV’T’ ARE TERRORISTS

by Matt Agorist on February 9, 2022

The Washington Post
Democracy Dies in Darkness

Business • Analysis

Mask Mandates Didn't Make Much of a Difference Anyway

By Faye Flam | Bloomberg
February 11, 2022 at 2:04 p.m. EST

🎁 📌 🗨

States across the U.S. have dropped their mask mandates this week, worrying Americans who think they're still needed and cheering people who are ready to go "back to

WAPO QUIETLY ADMITS MASKS DIDN'T WORK AS COVID TYRANTS DRAW THE WRATH THEY DESERVE

by Don Via Jr. on February 16, 2022

THEFREETHOUGHTPROJECT.COM

WE ARE ALL HUMANS



**IF WE LET RACE-BAITERS DIVIDE US
THE RULING CLASS WINS**

The last thing they want is for us to unite as one...

This next clip is obnoxious to all humans. Dr. Peggy Barker from the CDC will insult thinking people, immigrants, and calls for exterminating all white people all in the name of health so they can jab the kids. "We call this an equal opportunity offender." In her own words.

Top CDC Official: 'We'll Just Get Rid of All Whites in the United States' Who Refuse Vaccines

477,846 views • May 17, 2020

+Download ▾



992



DISLIKE



SHARE



Lockdown TV

1.81K subscribers

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sVEjRz0aXMU>

Gates Honored Doctor: "We'll just get rid of all the whites in the United States"

Chair of Advisory Committee on Immunizations floats racially-based depopulation plan

op Center for Disease Control official was caught on tape in 2016 saying that society should "get rid of of all the whites in the United States" to reduce the number of people who refuse vaccines.

Dr. Carol Baker made the alarming remarks during the "Achieving Childhood Vaccine Success in the U.S." panel discussion sponsored by the National Meningitis Association in New York City on May 9, 2016.

"So I have the solution. Every study published in the last five years, when you look at vaccine refusers," Baker told the panel. "I'm not talking about...hesitance, most of them we can talk into coming to terms. But refusers. We'll just get rid of all the whites in the United States...Guess who wants to get vaccinated the most? Immigrants."

Notably, Baker was appointed Chair of the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization by Obama's Health and Human Services Secretary Kathleen Sebelius in 2009.

The Houston doctor was also honored with the Sabin Vaccine Institute's 2019 Albert B. Sabin Gold Medal at a Washington D.C. ceremony sponsored "in part by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Pfizer."

Is it really that surprising a Bill Gates-connected CDC official openly suggested depopulating whites who don't cooperate with their mandatory vaccine agenda?

NATIONAL FILE

Carol Baker

Appointed Chair of CDC Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices in 2009

3/12/2022

Just "get rid" of them. So happy with herself for coming up with this genocidal plan. **They want/need the kids.** They want massive amounts of people who do not think for themselves, that they can coerce into doing something. That "something" is now an mRNA technology and nanoparticles in shots which ushers in the transhumanism agenda nicely. They need people who will co-operate with them. The non conformists are easily identified. Maskless, unjabbed, homeschooled, censored, jailed for free speech, right to assemble, targeted and yes murdered. What we can never be identified by is **COLOR**. We are made by Yahuah in the color HE chose for us. And He considered it good. They want us corrupted or dead. Covenant children or others who do not comply. Our advantage is we have Yahuah's protection. Others who are not in Covenant by His standard will be in a more brutal fight as they do this alone without Him.

RACE MEANS NOTHING



TO THE FAMILY OF YAHUAH

THE GREAT RESET

Klaus Schwab



There is an urgent need for global stakeholders to cooperate in simultaneously managing the direct consequences of the COVID-19 crisis. To improve the state of the world, the World Economic Forum is starting The Great Reset initiative.



THE CONTEXT

The Covid-19 crisis, and **the political, economic and social disruptions it has caused, is fundamentally changing the traditional context for decision-making.** The inconsistencies, inadequacies and contradictions of multiple systems – from **health and financial to energy and education** – are **more exposed** than ever amidst a **global context of concern for lives, livelihoods and the planet.** Leaders find themselves at a historic crossroads, managing short-term pressures against medium- and long-term uncertainties.

THE OPPORTUNITY

As we enter a **unique window of opportunity to shape the recovery**, this initiative will offer insights to help **inform all those determining the future state of global relations**, the **direction of national economies**, the **priorities of societies**, the **nature of business models** and the **management of a global commons**. Drawing from the vision and vast expertise of the leaders engaged across the Forum's communities, the **Great Reset initiative has a set of dimensions to build a new social contract** that honours the dignity **of every human being**.



“The Forum and its Partners will have a key role to play in shaping this fundamental transition, to make way for a world that is more inclusive, more connected and more resilient than it has ever been before.”

*Natarajan Chandrasekaran, Chairman of the Board, Tata Sons, **India***

The World Economic Forum is the International Organization for Public-Private Cooperation.

The Forum strives to model world-class corporate governance, where values are as important as rules. Legitimacy, accountability, transparency and concerted action are the guiding principles of the Forum.



"Only by joining efforts will we be able to face the cybersecurity challenges of increasing digitization and hyper connectivity."

Rosa Kariger, Global Chief Information Security Officer, Iberdrola S.A.



"The Forum has a long and strong track record of being the catalyst to make change happen."

Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala, Director-General, World Trade Organization



DEMOCRACY - a state of society characterized by formal equality of rights and **privileges**

REPUBLIC - a state in which the **supreme power rests in the body of citizens** entitled to vote and is exercised by representatives chosen directly or indirectly by them; a state in which the head of government is **not a monarch or other hereditary head of state.**

WE ARE A REPUBLIC



facebook.com/GOPBunny

So why are we letting unelected people govern over us? CDC, WHO, UN, FDA, FBI, CIA, Private corporations, lobbyist, Foundations, World Economic Forum, Media, Social media. It is dangerous! Just take a look at where we are! They are moving us to fascism!

Newly minted definition of Fascism attacking one side.

fascism

Collins COBUILD

Word Frequency



(fæʃɪzəm )

UNCOUNTABLE NOUN

<https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/fascism>

Fascism is a set of right-wing political beliefs that includes strong control of society and the economy by the state, a powerful role for the armed forces, and the stopping of political opposition.

...the rise of fascism in the 1930s. 

COBUILD Advanced English Dictionary. Copyright © HarperCollins Publishers



SINCE 1828

GAMES & QUIZZES

THESAURUS

WORD OF THE DAY

FEATURES

SHOW

fascism

Dictionary

Thesaurus



Save Word

fas·cism | \ 'fa-,shi-zəm  also 'fa-,si-\

Definition of *fascism*

- 1 *often capitalized* : a political philosophy, movement, or regime (such as that of the Fascisti) that exalts nation and often race above the individual and that stands for a centralized autocratic government headed by a dictatorial leader, severe economic and social regimentation, and forcible suppression of opposition
- 2 : a tendency toward or actual exercise of strong autocratic or dictatorial control
// early instances of army *fascism* and brutality
— J. W. Aldridge

Where does the word *fascism* come from? ^

The word *fascism* comes from the Latin *fasces*, which denotes a bundle of wooden rods that typically included a protruding axe blade. In ancient Rome, lictors (attendants to magistrates) would hold the *fasces* as a symbol of the penal power of their magistrate. The first European fascist, Benito Mussolini, adopted this symbol both to recall the greatness of the Roman Empire and to reinforce his authority as the eventual dictator of Italy. Fascist regimes like his required their citizens to be as unified as the tightly bound *fasces*.

Fasces as symbols of power and authority were also present throughout the United States and republican France in the 18th and 19th centuries. Similar to Mussolini's government, the U.S. and France aimed to align themselves with the legacy of Rome. However, the *fasces* came to be almost exclusively associated with fascism by the middle of the 20th century.

Although fascism is a notoriously difficult ideology to define, many 20th-century fascist movements shared several characteristics. First, these movements sourced their political strength from populations experiencing economic woes, real or imagined. Fascists tended to capitalize on these economic anxieties by shifting the blame away from government or market forces. Jews, immigrants, leftists, and other groups became useful scapegoats. Redirecting popular anger toward these people would, in theory, rid a country of its ailments.

To unify a country, fascist movements propagated extreme nationalism that often went hand in hand with militarism and racial purity. The prosperity of a nation depended on a unified polity that put the group's welfare above the individual's. A strong, vigilant military was considered necessary to defend these group interests. And for some fascists "the group" was defined not by territorial boundaries but by racial identity. Nazism constituted the most insidious form of racial-purist fascist nationalism.

Economic Fascism-Planned Capitalism Lives On

Wednesday, June 1, 1994

By Thomas J. DiLorenzo- professor of economics at Loyola University Maryland.

When people hear the word “fascism” they naturally think of its ugly racism and anti-Semitism as practiced by the totalitarian regimes of Mussolini and Hitler. But there was also an economic policy component of fascism, known in Europe during the 1920s and ‘30s as “corporatism,” that was an essential ingredient of economic totalitarianism as practiced by Mussolini and Hitler.

So-called corporatism was adopted in Italy and Germany during the 1930s and was held up as a “model” by quite a few intellectuals and policy makers in the United States and Europe. A version of economic fascism was in fact adopted in the United States in the 1930s and survives to this day. In the United States these policies were not called “fascism” but “planned capitalism.” The word fascism may no longer be politically acceptable, but its synonym “industrial policy” is as popular as ever.



<https://fee.org/articles/economic-fascism/>


Few Americans are aware of or can recall how so many Americans and Europeans viewed economic fascism as the wave of the future during the 1930s. **The American Ambassador to Italy, Richard Washburn Child**, was so impressed with “**corporatism**” that he wrote in the preface to Mussolini’s 1928 autobiography that “**it may be shrewdly forecast that no man will exhibit dimensions of permanent greatness equal to Mussolini. . . . The Duce is now the greatest figure of this sphere and time.**”[1]



Winston Churchill wrote in 1927 that “If I had been an Italian I am sure I would have been entirely with you” and “don the Fascist black shirt.”[2] As late as 1940, Churchill was still describing Mussolini as “a great man.”

Certain British intellectuals were perhaps the most smitten of anyone by fascism. **George Bernard Shaw** announced in 1927 that his fellow “**socialists should be delighted to find at last a socialist [Mussolini] who speaks and thinks as responsible rulers do.**”[4] He helped form the **British Union of Fascists** whose “**Outline of the Corporate State,**” according to the organization’s founder, **Sir Oswald Mosley**, was “**on the Italian Model.**” While visiting England, the American author **Ezra Pound** declared that Mussolini was “continuing the task of **Thomas Jefferson.**”[5]

Thus, it is important to recognize that, **as an economic system, fascism was widely accepted in the 1920s and '30s.** The evil deeds of individual fascists were later condemned, **but the practice of economic fascism never was.** To this day, the historically uninformed continue to repeat the hoary slogan that, despite all his faults, Mussolini at least “made the trains run on time,” insinuating that his interventionist industrial policies were a success.



“ The reasonable man adapts himself to the world; the unreasonable one persists in trying to adapt the world to himself. Therefore all progress depends on the unreasonable man. ”

~ George Bernard Shaw

The Italian “Corporatist” System

- ❖ The state comes before the individual.

This stands in stark contrast to the classical liberal idea that individuals have natural rights that pre-exist government; that government derives its “just powers” only through the consent of the governed; and that the principal function of government is to protect the lives, liberties, and properties of its citizens, not to aggrandize the state.

October 7, 2021 Dr. Fauci: "I think what people have to appreciate that indeed you do have personal liberties for yourself. You should be in control of that, but you are a member of society. And as a member of society reaping all the benefits of being a member of society, you have a responsibility to society. And I think each of us, particularly in the context of a pandemic that's killing millions of people, you have got to look at it and say, there comes a time when you do have to give up what you consider your individual right. Of making your own decision for the greater good of society. There's no doubt that that's the case."

Aug 5, 2021 Dr. Fauci: “The alpha variant, the level of virus in a vaccinated person was extremely low in the vaccinated people compared to the unvaccinated people – not so with delta. So, we know now that vaccinated people who get breakthrough infections can spread the virus to other people.”

Mussolini viewed these liberal ideas (in the European sense of the word “liberal”) as the antithesis of fascism: “The Fascist conception of life,” Mussolini wrote, “**stresses the importance of the State and accepts the individual only in so far as his interests coincide with the State. It is opposed to classical liberalism [which] denied the State in the name of the individual; Fascism reasserts the rights of the State as expressing the real essence of the individual.**”[6]

Mussolini thought it was **unnatural for a government to protect individual rights:** “The maxim that society exists only for the well-being and freedom of the individuals composing it does not seem to be in conformity with nature’s plans.”[7] **“If classical liberalism spells individualism,”** Mussolini continued, **“Fascism spells government.”**



The essence of fascism, therefore, is that government should be the master, not the servant, of the people. Think about this. Does anyone in America really believe that this is not what we have now (1994)? Are Internal Revenue Service agents really our “servants”? Is compulsory “national service” for young people, which now exists in numerous states and is part of a federally funded program, not a classic example of coercing individuals to serve the state? Isn’t the whole idea behind the massive regulation and regimentation of American industry and society the notion that individuals should be forced to behave in ways defined by a small governmental elite? When the nation’s premier health-care reformer recently declared that heart bypass surgery on a 92-year-old man was “a waste of resources,” wasn’t that the epitome of the fascist ideal—that the state, not individuals, should decide whose life is worthwhile, and whose is a “waste”?

© 2012 CreepyPolitics.com For MAXIMUM IMPACT, "SHARE" this! facebook.com/CreepyPolitics

As soon as I get into office, I am going to **FUNDAMENTALLY TRANSFORM** The United States of America!



Are you surprised? Why? What did you THINK he meant?

© 2012 CreepyPolitics.com For MAXIMUM IMPACT, "SHARE" this! facebook.com/CreepyPolitics

The U.S. Constitution was written by individuals who believed in the classical liberal philosophy of individual rights and sought to protect those rights from governmental encroachment. But since the fascist/collectivist philosophy has been so influential, policy reforms over the past half century have all but abolished many of these rights by simply ignoring many of the provisions in the Constitution that were designed to protect them. As legal scholar Richard Epstein has observed: “[T]he eminent domain . . . and parallel clauses in the Constitution render . . . suspect many of the heralded reforms and institutions of the twentieth century: zoning, rent control, workers’ compensation laws, transfer payments, progressive taxation.”[8] **It is important to note that most of these reforms were initially adopted during the ‘30s, when the fascist/collectivist philosophy was in its heyday.**

❖ **Planned industrial “harmony.”**

Another keystone of Italian corporatism was the idea that the government’s interventions in the economy should not be conducted on an ad hoc basis, but should be “coordinated” by some kind of central planning board. Government intervention in Italy was “too diverse, varied, contrasting. There has been disorganic . . . intervention, case by case, as the need arises,” Mussolini complained in 1935.[9] **Fascism would correct this by directing the economy toward “certain fixed objectives” and would “introduce order in the economic field.”[10] Corporatist planning, according to Mussolini adviser Fausto Pitigliani, would give government intervention in the Italian economy a certain “unity of aim,” as defined by the government planners.[11]**

These exact sentiments were expressed by Robert Reich (currently the U.S. Secretary of Labor) and Ira Magaziner (currently (1994) the federal government's health care reform "Czar") in their book *Minding America's Business*. [12] In order to counteract the "untidy marketplace," an interventionist industrial policy "must strive to integrate the full range of targeted government policies—procurement, research and development, trade, antitrust, tax credits, and subsidies—into a coherent strategy"

[13]

Current industrial policy interventions, Reich and Magaziner bemoaned, are "the product of fragmented and uncoordinated decisions made by [many different] executive agencies, the Congress, and independent regulatory agencies There is no integrated strategy to use these programs to improve the . . . U.S. economy." [14]

In his 1989 book, *The Silent War*, Magaziner reiterated this theme by advocating a coordinating group like the national Security Council to take a strategic national industrial view." [15] The White House has in fact established a "National Economic Security Council." Every other advocate of an interventionist "industrial policy" has made a similar "unity of aim" argument, as first described by Pitigliani more than half a century ago.

Government-business partnerships.

A third defining characteristic of economic fascism is that private property and business ownership are permitted, but are in reality controlled by government through a business-government “partnership.” As Ayn Rand often noted, however, in such a partnership government is always the senior or dominating “partner.”

In Mussolini’s Italy, businesses were grouped by the government into legally recognized “syndicates” such as the “National Fascist Confederation of Commerce,” the “National Fascist Confederation of Credit and Insurance,” and so on. All of these “fascist confederations” were “coordinated” by a network of government planning agencies called “corporations,” one for each industry. One large “National Council of Corporations” served as a national overseer of the individual “corporations” and had the power to “issue regulations of a compulsory character.”[16]

The purpose of this byzantine regulatory arrangement was so that the government could “secure collaboration . . . between the various categories of producers in each particular trade or branch of productive activity.”[17] Government-orchestrated “collaboration” was necessary because “the principle of private initiative” could only be useful “in the service of the national interest” as defined by government bureaucrats.[18]

This idea of **government-mandated and -dominated “collaboration”** is also at the heart of all interventionist industrial policy schemes. A successful industrial policy, write Reich and Magaziner, would **“require careful coordination between public and private sectors.”**[19] **“Government and the private sector must work in tandem.”**[20] **“Economic success now depends to a high degree on coordination, collaboration, and careful strategic choice,”** guided by government.[21]



JacksonLewis

Legal Update

OSHA Issues Emergency Temporary Standard Requiring COVID-19 Tests or Vaccinations for Most Employers



INSIGHT

Penalties for non-compliance may be \$14,000 per violation, the maximum penalty amounts for “serious” OSHA violations.

pillsbury

Mercantilism and protectionism. Whenever politicians start talking about “collaboration” with business, it is time to hold on to your wallet. Despite the fascist rhetoric about “national collaboration” and working for the national, rather than private, interests, the truth is that mercantilist and Protectionist practices riddled the system. Italian social critic Gaetano Salvemini wrote in 1936 that under corporatism, “it is the state, i.e., the taxpayer, who has become responsible to private enterprise. In Fascist Italy the state pays for the blunders of private enterprise.”[25] As long as business was good, Salvemini wrote, “profit remained to private initiative.”[26] But when the depression came, “the government added the loss to the taxpayer’s burden. Profit is private and individual. Loss is public and social.”[27]



The Italian corporative state, The Economist editorialized on July 27, 1935, “only amounts to the establishment of a new and costly bureaucracy from which those industrialists who can spend the necessary amount, can obtain almost anything they want, and put into practice the worst kind of monopolistic practices at the expense of the little fellow who is squeezed out in the process.” Corporatism, in other words, was a massive system of corporate welfare. “Three-quarters of the Italian economic system,” Mussolini boasted in 1934, “had been subsidized by government.”[28]

If this sounds familiar, it is because it is exactly the result of agricultural subsidies, the Export-Import bank, guaranteed loans to “preferred” business borrowers, protectionism, the Chrysler bailout, monopoly franchising, and myriad other forms of corporate welfare paid for directly or indirectly by the American taxpayer.

Another result of the close “collaboration” between business and government in Italy was “a continual interchange of personnel between the . . . civil service and private business.”[29] Because of this “revolving door” between business and government, Mussolini had “created a state within the state to serve private interests which are not always in harmony with the general interests of the nation.”[30]

Between 1998 and 2004 more than 2200 high-ranking government officials became lobbyists. This included more than half the senior officials of the Clinton administration who became corporate lobbyists when they left government office.

Politicians who do favors for particular corporations or business interests can also look forward to highly paid corporate executive positions, board memberships, or lucrative consultancies after they retire from political life.

Even if such job offers are not explicitly promised, it is in the interests of those looking forward to a post-government career in business not to displease the business community whilst in office and this means they tend to take a business viewpoint whilst still in government.

Michael Taylor's Monsanto juggling act



MEET CLARENCE THOMAS

HE WORKED AS A LAWYER FOR MONSANTO FOR FOUR YEARS



HE'S NOW A SUPREME COURT JUSTICE AND HAS RULED IN FAVOUR OF MONSANTO EVERY TIME.

BUT THIS IS JUST A COINCIDENCE... **RIGHT?**

The whole idea behind collectivism in general and fascism in particular is to make citizens subservient to the state and to place power over resource allocation in the hands of **a small elite**. As stated eloquently by the American fascist economist Lawrence Dennis, fascism “**does not accept** the liberal dogmas as to the sovereignty of the consumer or trader in the free market

Least of all does it consider that market freedom, and the opportunity to make competitive profits, are rights of the individual.” Such decisions should be made by a “dominant class” he labeled “**the elite**.”[32]

Conclusions

Virtually all of the specific economic policies advocated by the Italian and German fascists of the 1930s have also been adopted in the United States in some form, and continue to be adopted to this day. Sixty years ago, those who adopted these interventionist policies in Italy and Germany did so because they wanted to destroy economic liberty, free enterprise, and individualism. Only if these institutions were abolished could they hope to achieve the kind of totalitarian state they had in mind.

Many American politicians who have advocated more or less total government control over economic activity have been more devious in their approach. They have advocated and adopted many of the same policies, but they have always recognized that direct attacks on private property, free enterprise, self-government, and individual freedom are not politically palatable to the majority of the American electorate.

Thus, they have enacted a great many tax, regulatory, and income-transfer policies that achieve the ends of economic fascism, but which are sugar-coated with deceptive rhetoric about their alleged desire only to “save” capitalism.

American politicians have long taken their cue in this regard from [Franklin D. Roosevelt](#), who sold his [National Recovery Administration \(which was eventually ruled unconstitutional\)](#) on the grounds that “government restrictions henceforth must be accepted not to hamper individualism but to protect it.”^[40] In a classic example of Orwellian doublespeak, Roosevelt thus argued that individualism must be destroyed in order to protect it.



Now that socialism has collapsed and survives nowhere but in Cuba, China, Vietnam, and on American university campuses, the biggest threat to economic liberty and individual freedom lies in the new economic fascism. While the former Communist countries are trying to privatize as many industries as possible as fast as they can, they are still plagued by governmental controls, leaving them with essentially fascist economies: private property and private enterprise are permitted, but are heavily controlled and regulated by government.

As most of the rest of the world struggles to privatize industry and encourage free enterprise, we in the United States are seriously debating whether or not we should adopt 1930s-era economic fascism as the organizational principle of our entire health care system, which comprises 14 percent of GNP. We are also contemplating business-government “partnerships” in the automobile, airlines, and communications industries, among others, and are adopting government-managed trade policies, also in the spirit of the European corporatist schemes of the 1930s.

The state and its academic apologists are so skilled at generating propaganda in support of such schemes that Americans are mostly unaware of the dire threat they pose for the future of freedom. The road to serfdom is littered with road signs pointing toward “the information superhighway,” “health security,” “national service,” “managed trade,” and “industrial policy.”

<https://fee.org/articles/economic-fascism/>

REMEMBER:

BILL & MELINDA
GATES *foundation*

Our role

Where does our foundation fit among the other institutions trying to improve the world?

We typically hear about two sectors, the public and the private. The private sector – business – is good at developing products and services, while the public sector – government – is good at delivering solutions to all the people who need them.

In many cases, the private and public sectors, acting either separately or together, meet people's needs. But there are gaps, spaces where some people don't get what they need to live healthy, productive lives.


Here's an example: A generation ago, the market for vaccines worked well in wealthy countries – if you wanted to be immunized against a whole range of diseases, you could – but the system did not work for other parts of the world. Certain vaccines just weren't available for most people. The private sector didn't sell them in low-income countries because it wasn't clear there would be buyers. Governments tried to step in, but they weren't in a position to bring all the pieces -- the funding, the partnerships, the logistics – together to make it work. Tragically, millions of children were dying of preventable diseases each year.

This is the kind of problem that philanthropies can help solve, and it's how we define our foundation's role.

The Gateses' growing influence on funding for global health

Development assistance for health, 1990 to 2013.

US\$, billions



Source: IHME DAH Database 2013

Vox



Christopher Elias

President, **Global Development**

Dr. Chris Elias focuses on **high-impact, sustainable solutions for people in developing countries.**



Gargee Ghosh

President, **Global Policy & Advocacy**

Gargee Ghosh oversees **government affairs, economic policy, advocacy, and philanthropic partnerships in support of the foundation's goals around the world.**



Trevor Mundel

President, **Global Health**

Trevor Mundel leads the foundation's efforts to **develop high-impact interventions against the leading causes of death and disability in developing countries.**



Rodger Voorhies

President, **Global Growth & Opportunity**

Rodger Voorhies leads the foundation's work focused on **reducing the underlying causes of systemic poverty, including agricultural development, sanitation, financial services, and global education.**



Anita Zaidi

President, **Gender Equality and Director, Vaccine Development & Surveillance, and Director, Enteric and Diarrheal Diseases programs**

Anita Zaidi oversees the foundation's efforts to achieve **gender equality** and is director of the **Vaccine Development & Surveillance, and Enteric and Diarrheal Diseases programs.**

Leadership

The foundation's leaders come from a broad range of backgrounds and have a diversity of expertise and experience that spans **science and technology, medicine, public policy, education, communications, law, and business.**

Our leaders are guided by the foundation's mission to **create a world in which every person has the opportunity to live a healthy, productive life.**

WHO'S AGENDA?

We hope you found this information a blessing as we connect the warnings of Scripture to what we see in history and today. The enemy of Yahuah is nothing compared to Yahuah. That is the whole point. Yahuah will win.

The big question is will we stay on His path and keep our Covenant promises so WE will win. We have to know what to avoid.



The sheer joy of knowing we are not in this group destined for Yahuah's wrath is enough for a major celebration. That we heard His voice and responded and put Him above all else. Yahuah can perform history changing events using one person. Lo-shema needs legions of dopes fumbling into areas they cannot possibly understand. Things are getting clearer as every day more and more people show their true colors. That is a blessing!





We are going to dig more into Davos, the World Economic Forum and introduce you to some faces you might not have seen before. They are disgusting but as in previous studies Yahuah was not shy in telling us about them.

Let us be broadminded in identifying the Influencer and the army of deceivers and all the techniques. Do not give them access or permission to dwell anywhere near you or have any authority over your life. No matter the cost here on Earth. If it does not look right, it probably isn't. Be grateful you can spot it. Do not consent! No Fear! Do not comply! Shalom! It has been a blessing to share with you!

3/12/2022



G
R
A
T
E
F
U
L



L
I
F
E

יהוה

יהושע



**It's not hard- it is universal. It's not done away
with, it is what brings everlasting life.
One Yahuah, One Yahusha, One Torah
One People.**





- ❖ Yahuah is allowing this to happen now for a reason
- ❖ Lo-shema a title we gave the enemy meaning “no name or authority” is the dragon and head of this resistance to Yahuah
- ❖ The timing was perfect due to the unrest of society that has been propagated by lo-shema to lead a coup against the world
- ❖ The Evil WHO’s in Whosville have Narcissistic and Psychopathic tendencies in common
- ❖ Yahuah WINS
- ❖ We never give our consent to anything or anyone except Yahuah, His word and His Torah guidance.
- ❖ The Evil ones will never stop until they are put down by Yahuah Himself.

Professor of clinical psychology at Ghent University Mattias Desmet. He holds a masters degree in statistic.

Four things need to be in place if you want a large-scale mass phenomenon to emerge. (1) socially isolated people, people who experience a lack of social bond. (2) a lot of people who experience a lack of sense-making in life. (3) a lot of free-floating anxiety, (4) a lot of free-floating psychological discontent—meaning anxiety and discontent that is not connected to specific representations.

It needs to be in the mind without people being able to connect it to something. If you have these four things... then society is highly at risk for the emergence of mass phenomena, and these four conditions existed shortly before the corona crisis."



Definition of psychopath

: a mentally unstable person especially : a person having an **egocentric and antisocial personality marked by a lack of remorse for one's actions, an absence of empathy for others, and often criminal tendencies**

Narcissism

1. A grandiose sense of self-importance. Feeling superior to others and that one deserves special treatment.
2. Preoccupation with fantasies of unlimited success, power, brilliance, beauty, or ideal love.
3. Belief that he or she is “special” and unique and can only be understood by or should associate with, other special or high-status people or institutions
4. Requires excessive admiration. Often monopolize conversations. Feels slighted, mistreated, depleted, and enraged when ignored.
5. Has a sense of entitlement
6. Is interpersonally exploitative – takes advantage of others. Relationships are based on surface attributes and not the unique qualities of others. People are only valued only to the extent they are viewed as beneficial.
7. Lacks empathy. Severely limited or totally lacking ability to care about the emotional needs or experiences of others, even loved ones.
8. Envy others or believes others are envious of him or her
9. Shows arrogant, haughty behaviors and attitudes
10. Sense of self is highly superficial, extremely rigid, and often fragile. Self-stability depends on maintaining the view that one is exceptional. Grandiose sense of self is easily threatened. retreat from or deny realities that challenge grandiosity .
11. Interactions are superficial
12. Intimacy is avoided
13. Difficulty with attachment and dependency
14. Relies on feedback from the environment
15. Relationships only exist to shore up positive self-image
16. Narcissistic personality disorder is also a significant risk factor for suicide and suicidal attempts.

COMMON GASLIGHTING PHRASES

YOU'RE
OVERREACTING

YOU'RE SO
DRAMATIC

THIS IS YOUR
PROBLEM TO
DEAL WITH

WHY WOULD YOU
THINK THAT?
WHAT DOES THAT
SAY ABOUT YOU?

CAN YOU HEAR
YOURSELF?

IT DIDN'T HAPPEN
THAT WAY

IT WAS A JOKE.
HAVE A SENSE
OF HUMOUR

YOUR EMOTIONS ARE
YOUR RESPONSIBILITY

HAZEL MEAD

I NEVER SAID THAT

YOU'RE SO
INSECURE

THE SIGNS OF GASLIGHTING

Gaslighting is a form of manipulation and abuse concentrated on making someone doubt reality.

Blatant lying or constant coverups.

Actions contradict words. Broken promises.

Your self-esteem slowly erodes away & you begin to question what is real. You start to think you are bad or crazy.

Denying conversations or events ever happened.

Feeling like you have to defend reality.

Manipulating others to see you differently.

BlessingManifesting

3/12/2022

TECHNIQUE

shutterstock.com • 1831072243

We have learned that to successfully use coercion, lo-shema and our captors, (and make no mistake this world has been captured) need to:

- ❖ Know as much about us as possible- to tailor the technique they will use.
 - ❖ Create a debility.
- ❖ Create a dependency upon captors, including basic needs, emotional support, direction.
 - ❖ Create dread.
 - ❖ Create anxiety and fear.
 - ❖ Create guilt feelings- easiest way to get compliance
- ❖ The captor becomes the sole provider of satisfaction and punishment becoming a parental figure.
 - ❖ Create internal struggles for the captive.
 - ❖ Create insomnia
 - ❖ Isolate the captive
 - ❖ Create confusion
- ❖ Create a mindset of resignation-unable to meet new, challenging and complex situations.



TECHNIQUE

shutterstock.com • 1831072243

- ❖ Detain us and separate us from our families and our life style
 - ❖ Isolate us from all that is familiar
- ❖ Restrict our movements and become dependent on others for existence
 - ❖ Deprivation of senses
 - ❖ Causes attachment to inflictors and anxiety
 - ❖ Perceiving inanimate objects as being alive
 - ❖ Use terror
 - ❖ Make the people do demeaning things
 - ❖ Reducing us to childlike behavior
- ❖ Needs us to fully live and believe the lies that have been told

VIII. THE NON-COERCIVE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE INTERROGATION

A. General Remarks

The term non-coercive is used above to denote methods of interrogation that are not based upon the coercion of an unwilling subject through the employment of superior force originating outside himself. However, the non-coercive interrogation is not conducted without pressure. On the contrary, the goal is to generate maximum pressure, or at least as much as is needed to induce compliance. The difference is that the pressure is generated inside the interrogatee. His resistance is sapped, his urge to yield is fortified, until in the end he defeats himself.

Manipulating the subject psychologically until he becomes compliant, without applying external methods of forcing him to submit, sounds harder than it is. The initial advantage lies with the interrogator. From the outset, he knows a great deal more about the source than the source knows about him. And he can create and amplify an effect of omniscience in a number of ways. For example, he can show the interrogatee a thick file bearing his own name. Even if the file contains little or nothing but blank paper, the air of familiarity with which the interrogator refers to the subject's background can convince some sources that all is known and that resistance is futile.

If the interrogatee is under detention, the interrogator can also manipulate his environment. Merely by cutting off all other human contacts, "the interrogator monopolizes the social environment of the source." (3) He exercises the powers of an all-powerful parent, determining when the source will be sent to bed, when and what he will eat, whether he will be rewarded for good behavior or punished for being bad. The interrogator can and does make the

subject's world not only unlike the world to which he had been accustomed but also strange in itself - a world in which familiar patterns of time, space, and sensory perception are overthrown. He can shift the environment abruptly. For example, a source who refuses to talk at all can be placed in unpleasant solitary confinement for a time. Then a friendly soul treats him to an unexpected walk in the woods. Experiencing relief and exhilaration, the subject will usually find it impossible not to respond to innocuous comments on the weather and the flowers. These are expanded to include reminiscences, and soon a precedent of verbal exchange has been established. Both the Germans and the Chinese have used this trick effectively.

The interrogator also chooses the emotional key or keys in which the interrogation or any part of it will be played.

Because of these and other advantages, "...skilled and determined interrogators are almost invariably successful in eliciting some information from their sources.... For prisoner-of-war interrogation, the figures generally given as the proportion of sources who abandon the 'name, rank, number only' rule, or other injunctions of silence, are between 95 and 100 percent...."(3)

A principal goal during the opening phase is to confirm the personality assessment obtained through screening and to allow the interrogator to gain a deeper understanding of the source as an individual. Unless time is crucial, the interrogator should not become impatient if the interrogee wanders from the purposes of the interrogation and reverts to personal concerns. Significant facts not produced during screening may be revealed. The screening report itself is brought to life, the type becomes an individual, as the subject talks. And sometimes seemingly rambling monologues about personal matters are preludes to significant admissions. Some people cannot bring themselves to provide information that puts them in an unfavorable light until, through a lengthy prefatory rationalization, they feel that they have set the stage, that the interrogator will now understand why they acted as they did. If face-saving is necessary to the interrogee, it will be a waste of time to try to force him to cut the preliminaries short and get down to cases. In his view, he is dealing with the important topic, the why. He will be offended and may become wholly uncooperative if faced with insistent demands for the naked what.

2. The Reconnaissance

If the interrogatee is cooperative at the outset or if rapport is established during the opening phase and the source becomes cooperative, the reconnaissance stage is needless; the interrogator proceeds directly to detailed questioning. But if the interrogatee is withholding, a period of exploration is necessary. Assumptions have normally been made already as to what he is withholding: that he is a fabricator, or an RIS agent, or something else he deems it important to conceal. Or the assumption may be that he had knowledge of such activities carried out by someone else. At any rate, the purpose of the reconnaissance is to provide a quick testing of the assumption and, more importantly, to probe the causes, extent, and intensity of resistance.

During the opening phase the interrogator will have charted the probable areas of resistance by noting those topics which caused emotional or physical reactions, speech blocks, or other indicators. He now begins to probe these areas. Every experienced interrogator has noted that if an interrogatee

At the same time it is necessary to make every effort to keep the subject from learning through the interrogation process precisely where our informational gaps lie. This principle is especially important if the interrogatee is following his normal life, going home each evening and appearing only once or twice a week for questioning, or if his bona fides remains in doubt. Under almost all circumstances, however, a clear revelation of our interests and knowledge should be avoided. It is usually a poor practice to hand to even the most cooperative interrogatee an orderly list of questions and ask him to write the answers. (This stricture does not apply to the writing of autobiographies or on informational matters not a subject of controversy with the source.) Some time is normally spent on matters of little or no intelligence interest for purposes of concealment. The interrogator can abet the process by making occasional notes -- or pretending to do so -- on items that seem important to the interrogatee but are not of intelligence value. From this point of view an interrogation can be deemed successful if a source who is actually a hostile agent can report to the opposition only the general fields of our interest but cannot pinpoint specifics without including misleading information.

It is important to determine whether the subject's knowledge of any topic was acquired at first hand, learned indirectly, or represents merely an assumption. If the information was obtained indirectly, the identities of sub-sources and related information about the channel are needed. If statements rest on assumptions, the facts upon which the conclusions are based are necessary to evaluation.

C. Techniques of Non-Coercive Interrogation of Resistant Sources

If source resistance is encountered during screening or during the opening or reconnaissance phases of the interrogation, non-coercive methods of sapping opposition and strengthening the tendency to yield and to cooperate may be applied. Although these methods appear here in an approximate order of increasing pressure, it should not be inferred that each is to be tried until the key fits the lock. On the contrary, a large part of the skill and the success of the experienced interrogator lies in his ability to match method to source. The use of unsuccessful techniques will of itself increase the interrogatee's will and ability to resist.

The effectiveness of most of the non-coercive techniques depends upon their unsettling effect. The interrogation situation is in itself disturbing to most people encountering it for the first time. The aim is to enhance this effect, to disrupt radically the familiar emotional and psychological associations of the subject. When this aim is achieved, resistance is seriously impaired. There is an interval -- which may be extremely brief -- of suspended animation, a kind of psychological shock or paralysis. It is caused by a traumatic or sub-traumatic experience which explodes, as it were, the world that is familiar to the subject as well as his image of himself within that world. Experienced interrogators recognize this effect when it appears and know that at this moment the source is far more open to suggestion, far likelier to comply, than he was just before he experienced the shock.

Another effect frequently produced by non-coercive (as well as coercive) methods is the evocation within the interrogatee of feelings of guilt. Most persons have areas of guilt in their emotional topographies, and an interrogator can often chart these areas just by noting refusals to follow certain lines of questioning. Whether the sense of guilt has real or imaginary causes does not affect the result of intensification of guilt feelings. Making a person feel more and more guilty normally increases both his anxiety and his urge to cooperate as a means of escape.

2. Nobody Loves You

An interrogatee who is withholding items of no grave consequence to himself may sometimes be persuaded to talk by the simple tactic of pointing out that to date all of the information about his case has come from persons other than himself. The interrogator wants to be fair. He recognizes that some of the denouncers may have been biased or malicious. In any case, there is bound to be some slanting of the facts unless the interrogatee redresses the balance. The source owes it to himself to be sure that the interrogator hears both sides of the story.

3. The All-Seeing Eye (or Confession is Good for the Soul)

The interrogator who already knows part of the story explains to the source that the purpose of the questioning is not to gain information; the interrogator knows everything already. His real purpose is to test the sincerity (reliability, honor, etc.) of the source. The interrogator then asks a few questions to which he knows the answers. If the subject lies, he is informed firmly and dispassionately that he has lied. By skilled manipulation of the known, the questioner can convince a naive subject that all his secrets are out and that further resistance would be not only pointless but dangerous. If this technique does not work very quickly, it must be dropped before the interrogatee learns the true limits of the questioner's knowledge.

4. The Informer

Detention makes a number of tricks possible. One of these, planting an informant as the source's cellmate, is so well-known, especially in Communist countries, that its usefulness is impaired if not destroyed. Less well known is the trick of planting two informants in the cell. One of them, A, tries now and then to pry a little information from the source; B remains quiet. At the proper time, and during A's absence, B warns the source not to tell A anything because B suspects him of being an informant planted by the authorities.

Suspicion against a single cellmate may sometimes be broken down if he shows the source a hidden microphone that he has "found" and suggests that they talk only in whispers at the other end of the room.

5. News from Home

Allowing an interrogatee to receive carefully selected letters from home can contribute to effects desired by the interrogator. Allowing the source to write letters, especially if he can be led to believe that they will be smuggled out without the knowledge of the authorities, may produce information which is difficult to extract by direct questioning.

HOW TO GET PEOPLE TO FOLLOW THE RULES!!!

• USE A LITTLE PEEK PRESSURE



• BE A ROLE MODEL



• PICK AND CHOOSE YOUR BATTLES



• GENTLY NUDGE BUT DON'T GET TOO TECHNICAL



Regression

There are a number of non-coercive techniques for inducing regression. All depend upon the interrogator's control of the environment and, as always, a proper matching of method to source. Some interrogatees can be repressed by persistent manipulation of time, by retarding and advancing clocks and serving meals at odd times -- ten minutes or ten hours after the last food was given. Day and night are jumbled. Interrogation sessions are similarly unpatterned the subject may be brought back for more questioning just a few minutes after being dismissed for the night. Half-hearted efforts to cooperate can be ignored, and conversely he can be rewarded for non-cooperation. (For example, a successfully resisting source may become distraught if given some reward for the "valuable contribution" that he has made.) The Alice in Wonderland technique can reinforce the effect. Two or more interrogators, questioning as a team and in relays (and thoroughly jumbling the timing of both methods) can ask questions which make it impossible for the interrogatee to give sensible, significant answers. A subject who is cut off from the world he knows seeks to recreate it, in some measure, in the new and strange environment. He may try to keep track of time, to live in the familiar past, to cling to old concepts of loyalty, to establish -- with one or more interrogators -- interpersonal relations resembling those that he has had earlier with other people, and to build other bridges back to the known. Thwarting his attempts to do so is likely to drive him deeper and deeper into himself, until he is no longer able to control his responses in adult fashion.

The placebo technique is also used to induce regression. The interrogatee is given a placebo (a harmless sugar pill). Later he is told that he has imbibed a drug, a truth serum, which will make him want to talk and which will also prevent his lying. The subject's desire to find an excuse for the compliance that represents his sole avenue of escape from his distressing predicament may make him want to believe that he has been drugged and that no one could blame him for telling his story now. Gottschelk observes, "Individuals under increased stress are more likely to respond to placebos." (7)

In other words, a psychologically immature source, or one who has been regressed, could adopt an implication or suggestion that he has been drugged, hypnotized, or otherwise rendered incapable of resistance, even if he recognizes at some level that the suggestion is untrue, because of his strong desire to escape the stress of the situation by capitulating. These techniques provide the source with the rationalization that he needs.

Whether regression occurs spontaneously under detention or interrogation, and whether it is induced by a coercive or non-coercive technique, it should not be allowed to continue past the point necessary to obtain compliance. Severe techniques of regression are best employed in the presence of a psychiatrist, to insure full reversal later. As soon as he can, the interrogator presents the subject with the way out, the face-saving reason for escaping from his painful dilemma by yielding. Now the interrogator becomes fatherly. Whether the excuse is that others have already confessed ("all the other boys are doing it"), that the interrogatee has a chance to redeem himself ("you're really a good boy at heart"), or that he can't help himself ("they made you do it"), the effective rationalization, the one the source will jump at, is likely to be elementary. It is an adult's version of the excuses of childhood.