ANOTHER BRICK IN THE WALL



NUCLEAR

SILVER

GOING

UNDERGROUND

FUKUSHIMA AGENDA 21 CHEMTRAILS

CLONING

VACCINES SPYING

SHADOW GOVERNMENT PT 2

SHADOW GOVERNMENT PT 1 ROBOTS JIHAD

ALEPPO

CODEX

ANTARCTICA BLACK GOO

HUMAN TRAFFICKING

3/18/2017

"The illusion of freedom will continue as long as it's profitable to continue the illusion. At the point where the illusion becomes too expensive to maintain, they will just take down the scenery, they will pull back the curtains, they will move the tables and chairs out of the way and you will see the brick wall at the back of the theater."

Frank Zappa



http://io9.gizmodo.com/whyasimovs-three-laws-of-roboticscant-protect-us-1553665410

> WHY ASIMOVS THREE LAWS OF ROBOTICS CAN'T PROTECT US –George Dvorsky 3/28/2014

3/18/2017



MAN-LIKE MACHINES RULE THE WORLD! Fascinating Tales of a Strange Tomorrow

It's been 50 years since Isaac Asimov devised his famous Three Laws of Robotics — a set of rules designed to ensure friendly robot behavior. Though intended as a literary device, these laws are heralded by some as a ready-made prescription for avoiding the robopocalypse. We spoke to the experts to find out if Asimov's safeguards have stood the test of time — and they haven't.

A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm. **Panther Science Fiction**

GSINOV THE ROBOTS OF DAWN

A robot must obey the orders given to it by human beings, except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.

ASINOV ROBOTVISIONS

A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.

Later, Asimov added a fourth, or zeroth law, that preceded the others in terms of priority:

A robot may not harm humanity, or, by inaction, allow humanity to come to harm.

In Asimov's fictional universe, these laws were incorporated into nearly all of his "positronic" robots. They were not mere suggestions or guidelines — they were embedded into the software that governs their behavior. What's more, the rules could not be bypassed, over-written, or revised.

3/18/2012 by William F. Wu Nebula Award Nominee Invariably, and as demonstrated in so many of Asimov's novels, the imperfections, loopholes, and ambiguities enshrined within these laws often resulted in strange and counterintuitive robot behaviors. The laws were too vague, for example, by failing to properly define and distinguish "humans" and "robots." Additionally, robots could unknowingly breach the laws if information was kept from them. What's more, a robot or AI endowed with super-human intelligence would be hard-pressed to *not* figure out how to access and revise its core programming.

"Those people who think they know everything are a great annoyance to those of us who do."

Isaac Asimov





Scifi aside, and as many people are apt to point out, these Laws were meant as a literary device. But as late as 1981, Asimov himself believed that they could actually work. Writing in *Compute!*

I have my answer ready whenever someone asks me if I think that my Three Laws of Robotics will actually be used to govern the behavior of robots, once they become versatile and flexible enough to able to choose among different courses of behavior. My answer is, "Yes, the Three Laws are the only way in which rational human beings can deal with robots — or with anything else.

"I honestly don't find any inspiration in the three laws of robotics," said Helm. "The consensus in machine ethics is that they're an unsatisfactory basis for machine ethics." The Three Laws may be widely known, he says, but they're not really being used to guide or inform actual AI safety researchers or even machine ethicists.

LOUIE HELM TECH ENTREPRENEUER



You Tube



"One reason is that rule-abiding systems of ethics — referred to as 'deontology' — are known to be a broken foundation for ethics. There are still a few philosophers trying to fix systems of deontology — <u>but these are mostly the same people trying to shore up</u> <u>'intelligent design' and 'divine command theory'," says Helm. "No one takes them</u> <u>seriously</u>."

He summarizes the inadequacy of the Three Laws accordingly:

4000

0.0

Inherently adversarial

AN A REAL PROPERTY AND A R

Based on a known flawed ethical framework (deontology)

Rejected by researchers

Fails even in fiction


Goertzel agrees. "The point of the Three Laws was to fail in interesting ways; that's what made most of the stories involving them interesting," he says. "So the Three Laws were instructive in terms of teaching us how any attempt to legislate ethics in terms of specific rules is bound to fall apart and have various loopholes."

Ben Goertzel cosmist | singularitarian chairman, artificial general intelligence society | opencog foundation

DI TECHNOLOT

Very few AGI researchers believe that it would be possible to engineer AGI systems that could be guaranteed totally safe," says Goertzel. "But this doesn't bother most of them because, in the end, there are no guarantees in this life."

> Goertzel believes that, once we have built early-stage AGI systems or proto-AGI systems much more powerful than what we have now, we will be able to carry out studies and experiments that will tell us much more about AGI ethics than we now know.



The technological singularity is the hypothesis that the invention of artificial superintelligence will abruptly trigger runaway technological growth, resulting in unfathomable changes to human civilization.

Peter Diamantis

I believe we're rapidly heading towards a human-scale transformation, the next evolutionary step into what I call a "Meta-Intelligence," a future in which we are all highly connected—brain to brain via the cloud—sharing thoughts, knowledge and actions. In this post, I'm investigating the driving forces behind such an evolutionary step, the historical pattern we are about to repeat, and the implications thereof. Again, I acknowledge that this topic seems far-out, but the forces at play are huge and the implications are vast.


https://scienceofsingularity.com/

3/18/2017

Brain-Computer Interfaces A multitude of labs and entrepreneurs are working to create lasting, high-bandwidth connections between the digital world and the human neocortex. Ray Kurzweil predicts we'll see human-cloud connection by the mid-2030s, just 18 years from now. In addition, entrepreneurs like Bryan Johnson (and his company Kernel) are committing hundreds of millions of dollars towards this vision. The end results of connecting your neocortex with the cloud are twofolds first, you'll have the ability to increase your memory capacity and/or cognitive function millions of fold; second, via a global mesh network, you'll have the ability to connect your brain to anyone else's brain and to emerging Als, just like our cell phones, servers, watches, cars and all devices are becoming connected via the Internet of Things.

Artificial Intelligence/Human Intelligence: Next, and perhaps most significantly, we are on the cusp of an Al revolution. Artificial intelligence, powered by deep learning and funded by companies such as Google, Facebook, IBM, Samsung and Alibaba, will continue to rapidly accelerate and drive breakthroughs. Cumulative "intelligence" (both artificial and human) is the single greatest predictor of success for both a company or a nation. For this reason, beside the emerging Al "arms race," we will soon see a race focused on increasing overall human intelligence. Whatever challenges we might have in creating a vibrant brain-computer interface (e.g., designing long-term biocompatible sensors or nanobots that interface with your neocortex), those challenges will fall quickly over the next couple of decades as AI power tools give us ever-increasing problem-solving capability. It is an exponential atop an exponential. More intelligence gives us the tools to solve connectivity and mesh problems and in turn create greater intelligence.

As in the days of noah, we have now our own version of the "fallen" ones -we are going to artificially turn ourselves into chimeras trans-humans, so that we become unrecognizable to our father and for those that get lured into that as a way to achieve immortality there is going to be a big surprise!!!



NA or deoxyribonucleic acid is a long molecule that contains our unique genetic code. Like a recipe book it holds the instructions for making all the proteins in our bodies.

Your genome? is made of a chemical called deoxyribonucleic acid, or DNA

DNA contains four basic building blocks or 'bases?': adenine? (A), cytosine? (C), guanine? (G) and thymine? (T).

The order, or sequence, of these bases form the instructions in the genome.

DNA is a two-stranded molecule.

The human genome is made of 3.2 billion bases of DNA but other organisms have different genome sizes.

We Can Now Use DNA To Store Everything From A Movie To An Amazon Gift Card

The oldest storage system in the world might be the key to future-proofing our modern data.


In the 1995 cyberpunk thriller *Johnny Mnemonic*, Keanu Reeves stars as a man whose childhood memories have been wiped so his brain can be used to smuggle data. It's all very mid-'90s dystopia, but it the premise, as it turns out, isn't too farfetched: In a new study, <u>scientists have demonstrated that it's possible to use DNA</u> <u>to store all kinds of data</u>, including movies.


https://www.fastcoexist.com/3068819/we-can-now-use-dna-to-storeeverything-from-a-movie-to-an-amazon-gift-card

3/18/2017

In Johnny Mnemonic, the smuggling was done with a chip implanted in the brain, which is pretty quaint compared to this new technique, which involves writing the information into the DNA itself. DNA is designed to carry data and replicate it without error, after all, so it would seem to be a perfect storage medium. The researchers, from the New York Genome Center and the Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics at Columbia, wrote six different files into DNA: a computer operating system, a French movie, an image of the Pioneer plaque, a study by information theorist Claude Shannon, a computer virus, and an Amazon gift card.

/2017





To begin with, the researchers mapped pieces of computer information—the ones and zeros that make up any digital file—onto DNA nucleotides. They then synthesized those organic molecules into DNA strands and stored the DNA in a test tube. To extract the information, they sequenced that DNA (the same way you'd sequence any DNA). What they got back was a perfect copy of the original data.

DNA is designed for storage, and it turns out to be way better at it than anything we have invented ourselves.

"DNA has several big advantages," study co-author Yaniv Erlich told Research Gate. "First, it is much smaller than traditional media. In fact, we showed that we can reach a density of 215 petabytes per gram of DNA! Second, DNA lasts for an extended period of time, over 100 years, which is orders of magnitude more than traditional media." To put that in perspective, one petabyte is 1,000 terabytes: roughly 16,000 times the data that your 64GB iPhone can store. DNA can store 215 petabytes in just 0.035 ounces.

Erlich and his research partner Dina Zielinski estimate that commercial DNA data storage won't be available for over a decade. When it is, though, the storage race may be over and, in the future, data smuggling inside your own body will be a very real and creepy possibility.

Now that they are learning how much our DNA can store - is it any wonder why they are pushing the singularity?? One way or another you will be forced to choose - Yahuah or the adversary!!! So the question is this? Or maybe not so much a question—but there will never be enough ability for anything man-made to store the amount of information that we as humans with all our molecules can store.

So, how is it possible that "they" think they can build artificial intelligence to have the ability to best man?

They just tell you they can, you believe it and are ready to become part of the machine.




http://www.livescience.com/58147-brain-controlled-robots-safer-self-drivingcars.html

Are you nervous about entrusting your life to a self-driving car? What if you could telepathically communicate with the vehicle to instantaneously let it know if it makes a mistake? Using a so-called brain-computer interface (BCI) to communicate with a robot is not new, but most methods require people to train with the BCI and even J/18/2017 learn to modulate their thoughts to help the machine understand.

Snake-bot The ACM-R5 is a snakelike robot that can crawl around on dry ground or swim through water. The amphibious bot, created by Japanese company HiBot, is equal parts fascinating and terrifying as it deftly twists and turns underwater.

Kurata Robot

The Japanese robot, made by Suidobashi Heavy Industry, stands a menacing 13-feet-tall (4 meters), and is equipped with "machine guns" and "rocket launchers" in its arms (they're actually BB Guns and fireworks, which are still dangerous). These huge humanoid machines can be piloted manually from a cockpit inside the robot, or they can be controlled remotely using a smartphone.



Nao Robot

French company Aldebaran Robotics, headquartered in Paris, developed an autonomous and programmable robot named Nao. This interactive bot is equipped with cutting-edge motion, vision and audio capabilities.

BigDog

In 2005, the whizzes at Boston Dynamics created a four-legged robot, called BigDog, to serve as a robotic pack mule for the military. The project was funded by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the branch of the U.S. Department of Defense tasked with developing new technologies for the military. BigDog walks on four sturdy legs, and it can accompany soldiers across terrain deemed too rough for vehicles. The robot can lug 340 pounds (150 kilograms) of cargo and is capable of keeping up a pace of 4 mph (6.4 km/h).

H1N1 Flu Robot

It may not be what typically comes to mind when people think of "robots," but this humanlike contraption was designed to simulate the symptoms of the H1N1 (swine) flu to help train Japanese doctors. The robot, which is covered in material resembling human skin, can sweat, cry and even convulse. If the robots do not receive proper treatment, their symptoms gradually get worse, and in some cases, they can even stop breathing and "die."

Octavia

The U.S. Naval Research Laboratory's Octavia robot is a humanoid machine with perhaps some of the creepiest facial features. Octavia is a firefighting robot designed to help engineers test new technologies to assist members of the U.S. Naval Fleet.

DC-21

Octavia is designed to interact with humans, and engineers are trying to develop ways for the robot to identify and track people, understand what humans say, and recognize any gestures they make. Eventually, scientists hope Octavia will be able to work shoulder-toshoulder with human teammates, with sophisticated speech and visual recognition capabilities.

https://www.wired.com/2009/07/military-researchers-develop-corpseeating-robots/

Military Researchers Develop Corpse-Eating Robots

https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2009/jul/19/robotsresearch

The machine's inventors say that the Energetically Autonomous Tactical Robot – known as Eatr for short – does indeed power its "biomass engine" by digesting organic material, but that it is not intended to chomp its way through battlefields of fallen soldiers.

"We are focused on demonstrating that our engines can create usable, green power from plentiful, renewable plant matter. The commercial applications alone for this earth-friendly energy solution are enormous." Another of the robot's inventors, Dr Robert Finkelstein of Robotic Technology Inc (RTI), said that Eatr had built-in systems that would help it determine whether material that it ingested was animal, vegetable or mineral.

"If it's not on the menu, it's not going to eat it," he told Fox News. "There are certain signatures form different kinds of materials that would distinguish vegetative biomass from other material." It can also use more conventional fuels, such as petrol, diesel or cooking oil, to keep going. But in a statement put out by the group, <u>it reiterated that it</u> would be illegal to create a robot that used dead bodies A Robot Lawyer Is Officially Assisting With Refugee Applications -3/13/2017 https://futurism.com/a-robot-lawyer-is-officially-assisting-with-refugeeapplications/

When Joshua Browder developed the chatbot for DoNotPay, the original idea was just to help people out with their traffic ticket woes. DoNotPay has since successfully overturned more than 200,000 disputable parking tickets in London, New York, and Seattle. It's also given free legal aid to people who couldn't afford lawyers for their emergency housing issues. The 20-year old Browder, a student at Stanford University, has now turned his robot lawyer to helping refugees seeking asylum.

So when we can no longer drive, I'm sure they will find a better use for it...oops, I see they already did -bringing in refugees!!!! Instead of refugees filing out applications themselves, they simply chat with this robot lawyer. Everything is done in plain English. "The language in these forms can be quite complicated," Browder said. The chatbot determines which information it needs to fill out applications by asking a series of questions. "Once the form is sent off, the details are deleted from my end," said Browder. (And I'm sure NO-ONE will have seen any of this, because he DELETED it on his end)

> Funnier still is the fact that as he says "everything is done in plain English"—again, as it is with a computer - your data is only as good as who's doing the inputting, now what translator would I get??

This has always been the point behind Browder's work. In working on parking ticket disputes through the original DoNotPay, he has helped simplify the all-too-often complicated legal language. <u>"Asylum seekers want to follow</u> <u>the laws and do everything properly, and this</u> <u>technology will help them do so,"</u> Alcorn added.

Wonder if this thing will be able to tell the difference between those who DON'T want to follow the laws – who actually want to bring in their own laws...Sharia?? Too late on that!!



And with this - one can assert that Darwin's theory of evolution continues to prove itself wrong...here we have man telling us that being a trans-human is the next step in the human evolution!! This also proves "circular logic"!! Man's involvement, instead of Yahuah's with the human body will end up exactly like it did, when the fallen ones decided to take human women, and we saw what happened there!!!

The Body Hacking Convention in Austin, Texas took place a few months ago. It brought together a collection of people who share in the idea of using technology to augment our biological capabilities and enhance the human experience. Austin was a fitting place to hold such an event as the city's motto suggests...

Amal;)


One of the strongest voices for this movement is Amal Graafstra, CEO and founder of Dangerous Things as well as a firm believer that biohacking is the next step in human evolution. As he pointed out many times, this is a process that has already begun. Whether it be through pacemakers or breast implants, we already fix any part of us that we need to or enhance anything we deem to be sub-par. We also walk around with computers basically attached to us everywhere we go that are connected to the internet and all of humanity at all times. It is natural that we will continue to push this trend forward by further augmenting our bodies and merging ourselves with the tools we create. And he doesn't just talk the talk, he has transponders implanted into his hands that allow him to open doors, start vehicles and log into his computer with the wave of his hand. His company sells such implantable devices and he has pioneered many of the techniques used in the biohacking industry.

AVID & SUDS using

Many were focused on allowing humans to intuit new senses, believing we are limited in the ways we can experience and interact with the world to just the five or so senses we are born with.



They told me I could be anything I wanted. So I became a god.



There was North Sense, a device that allows people to feel where north is like a homing pigeon, there were implantable magnets that allow people to pick up objects like Magneto, some even strong enough to let people sense magnetic fields around them, echolocation goggles that help blind people detect objects in front of them, vests that enable the person wearing it to feel the world around them, a company called Cyberise selling everything implantable from chips to thermometers and an eyeborg who had a camera embedded into one of his eye sockets.

It is a fascinatingly complex issue further obfuscated by the reality that there is a blurry line between fixing something and enhancing. If a child is born with a genetic defect that we can treat it seems obvious that we should, but what if gene editing techniques can endow that child with an improved immune system so that they virtually never get sick? At what point do we say it is okay to replace a severed arm with a prosthetic that can restore some function but not okay to give people an arm that works much better than their biological one so others don't start hacking off their arms to become Robocops? A myriad of such questions pop up when we think about all that we may soon be able to do. There are no easy answers but the main take away from this conference is that society needs to start talking about these things because whether we like it or not it is becoming a part of our reality and we need to be ready for some very weird things on the horizon.

More like Yahuah and Yahusha coming back!!!!

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-4330776/Insect-sizerobot-weapons-render-humanity-EXTINCT.html

Terrifying insect-sized robots could render humanity EXTINCT 'by the end of this century', expert warns

> Despite being just the size of an insect, tiny military weapons being developed by the military have the incredible power of hundreds of tons of TNT.

Nanoweapons are smaller than a strand of hair and insect-like robots could be programmed to perform terrifying tasks such as poisoning people and contaminating food and water supplies, according to CNBC

Mr del Monte also predicts that terrorists will get their hands on nanoweapons in the next three years through the black market.

A five pound (2.3 kilogram) weapon - which could be concealed in something as small as a wallet - could be used to blow up a whole building.

Revelation 11:18

And the nations, tribes and peoples were angry, and Your wrath, fury and punishment has arrived, and the time for the dead to be judged and held accountable for their actions, and to give their reward of retribution for wrongdoing to your servants the prophets and to the set-apart ones who hold awe and reverence for Your Name and reputation, the small, insignificant and unimportant and the great and wealthy, and to destroy completely those who destroy and damage irreparably the earth.

Enoch 27:1-4

Then I said: "What is the purpose of the blessed land, which is entirely filled with trees, and what is the purpose of this accursed valley between them?" Then UriAL, one of the set-apart messengers who was with me, answered and said: "This accursed valley is for those who are cursed forever. Here shall all the accursed be gathered together who utter with their lips against Yahuah not befitting His honor or say hard things against Him. Here shall they be gathered together, and here shall be their place of judgment. In the last days there shall be the spectacle of righteous judgment on them in the presence of the righteous forever; here shall the merciful bless the Almighty of honor and esteem, the Eternal King. In the days of judgment they shall bless Him for the mercy in that He has shown them.